

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/842,753	Applicant(s) AHO ET AL.	
	Examiner ASAD M. NAWAZ	Art Unit 2455	

All Participants:

(1) ASAD M. NAWAZ.

(2) Joseph Gamberdell (Reg No 44,695).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 September 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated the interview to clarify how the independent claims, other than the last limitation, state anything other than prior art encapsulation. Examiner recommends that the applicants incorporate something significant about WAP within their independent claims as the specification is directed mostly to such material.